## Interview Summary

 Application No.
 Applicant(s)

 10/632,156
 DOYLE ET AL.

 Examiner
 Art Unit

 AUBREY H. WYSZYNSKI
 2134

•	Examiner	Art Unit	
	AUBREY H. WYSZYNSKI	2134	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):		
(1) <u>AUBREY H. WYSZYNSKI</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>MARCIA L. DOUBET</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 22 March 2008.			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	2) applicant's representative	e]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)□ No.		
Claim(s) discussed: 1.			
Identification of prior art discussed:			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g	)☐ was not reached. h)☐ N	I/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: In order to meet the statutory requirements of 35 USC § 101, Attorney Doubet agreed to amend claim 1, from "A security container" to "A security container stored in memory".			
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no c allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	opy of the amendments that w		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.			
	/Kambiz Zand/ Supervisory Patent Examiner Examiner's signature, if requi		
	- '		